USE OF AZOLLA AS A NITROGEN SOURCE FOR LOWLAND RICE

Elsye L. Sisworo, Widjang H. Sisworo, Havid Rasjid, Hendratno, Soleh Solahuddin, and Goeswono Soepardi

USE OF Azolia AS A NITROGEN SOURCE FOR LOWLAND RICE

Elsje L. Sisworo*, Widjang H. Sisworo*, Havid Rasjid*, Hendratno*, Soleh Solahuddin**, and Goeswono Soepardi**

ABSTRACT

USE OF Azolia AS A NITROGEN SOURCE FOR LOWLAND RICE. four-year experiment has been carried out to study the possibility of using Azolla as a nitrogen source for lowland rice. The work done in the first year of the experiment which was conducted in the wet season (WS) and the dry season (DS) of 1984/1985, was to evaluate whether Azo-Ila could really increase rice yield. In the second year of the experiment done in the WS and DS of 1985/1986, Nderived from Azolla (N-dfA) and N-derived from urea (NdfU) in rice plant were determined. The third year work done in the WS and DS of 1986/1987 was conducted to see to what extend AzoIIa could be applied in the field in terms of kg N/ha as a N-source for rice. While the last year of the experiment was devoted to study the N-balance of Azolla and urea, which was done in the DS of 1988/1989. Results of the experiments show that Azolla has the same ability as urea to increase rice production. There was no difference in total N-uptake by rice treated either with AzoIIa, or urea, or AzoIIa interacted with urea. By increasing the Azolla level its N recovery will decrease. The same phenomenon was also found for urea. Another interesting fact is that an Arolla cover in the rice field could promote N-uptake from urea.

ABSTRAK

PENGGUNAAN Azolla SEBAGAI SUMBER N BAGI PADI SAWAH.
Telah dilakukan penelitian selama empat tahun berturutturut mengenai kemungkinan penggunaan Azolla sebagai sumber N bagi padi sawah. Pekerjaan pada tahun pertama yang
dilakukan pada musim tanam penghujan (MTP) dan musim tanam

^{*} Center for the Application of Isotopes and Radiation, NAEA

^{**} Bogor Agriculture Instute

kemarau (MTK) 1984/1985 ialah untuk menilai apakah Azolla benar-benar mampu menaikkan produksi padi. Percobaan tahun yang dilakukan pada MTP dan MTK 1985/1986 ialah untuk menentukan berapa besar N-berasal dari Azella bdA) sekaligus dibandingkan dengan N-berasal dari urea (NbdU), yang ditemukan pada tanaman padi. Tahun ketiga dari penelitian ini, yang percobaannya dilakukan pada MTP MTK 1986/1987 ialah untuk mengamati sampai seberapa takaran N-bdA dapat diterapkan di lapangan, yang hasilnya dibandingkan dengan N-bdU. Tahun terakhir penelitian dititikberatkan pada penyusunan neraca N-bdA dan N-bdU. Hasil penelitian empat tahun ini menunjukkan bahwa Azolla mempunyai kemampuan yang setara dengan urea untuk meningkatkan produksi padi. Selain itu tidak ada perbedaan anta-ra serapan N-total bdA dan serapan N-total bdU, atau dengan Azolla yang diinteraksikan dengan urea. Meningkattakaran N-bdA akan menurunkan kandungan N-bdA yang ditemukan kembali pada tanaman padi. Hal yang serupa ditemukan juga pada urea. Adanya hamparan Azolla di sawah akan meningkatkan penyerapan N-bdU cleh tanaman padi.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of Azolla to fix N₂ from the air has been established by many researchers. This trait of Azolla in building up its nitrogen content could be used as an N source for many purposes, such as for lowland rice to increase rice production. This possibility has been studied in different countries (1-3). Such studies are very important because the results have shown that Azolla has the possibility to replace a part of chemical fertilizers usually used for lowland rice. In some extreme cases such as for remote areas, it could be used as a sole N fertilizer source.

In Indonesia the common rate of N used for lowland rice range from 60 to 90 kg N/ha. In several agricultural

areas, the rate used is up to 150 kg N/ha or more. A report by SUMANTRI (4) in one of the most prominent newspaper in Indonesia "Kompas" said that in four provinces in Java, the rate of fertilizer used is 279.22 - 340.65 kg Urea/ha. With such fertilizer rate, the rice yield range only from 4.42 to 4.97 t/ha. The lack of response to chemical fertilizer shown by these data could be attributed to the satiated condition of the soil, caused by using high rates of chemical fertilizers for long periods. Overusing chemical fertilizers was also brought forward by the President of Indonesia Mr. Soeharto in his speech at the opening of an N-fertilizer plant. He warned the farmers to use fertilizers cautiously and not to overuse it (5).

In connection with the above statements, especially for Indonesia, may be Azolla can be used to improve soil conditions by reducing chemical N fertilizers rate and replace it by Azolla. Another advantage of using Azolla as an N source is that it could be used in remote areas, where no chemical fertilizers are available due to lack of transportation.

This paper reports the work done under FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on Isotopic Studies of Nitrogen Fixation and Nitrogen Cycling in Azolla and Blue-Green Algae, RC No. 39271SD for four years to study the

possibility of using Azolla as an N source for lowland rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Influence of N Source on Rice Production (1984/1985). Field experiments were conducted in Bogor, West Java. The date of seeding, transplanting, and harvesting are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The dates of seeding, transplanting, and harvesting of the four year experiment

| YEAR | | | D | ate | of | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------|------|--------------|----------------|------|------|
| 1984/1985 | Seed | ing | Tran | spla | nting | Har | vest | ing |
| wet season (WS) dry season (DS) 1986/1987 | Nov. 6, May 20, | 1984 1985 | | | 1984 1985 | March Sept. | | |
| wet season (WS) dry season (DS) 1987/1988 | Dec. 9, June 9, | | | | 1986 1986 | April Oct. | | |
| dry season (DS) wet season (WS) | May 22, Nov.15, | | June Dec. | | 1987 1987 | Sept. March | | |
| dry season (DS) | May 25, | 1988 | June | 14, | 1988 | Sept. | 13, | 1988 |

Note: After each experiment a residual experiment was carried out

Plots size, plant distance, and variety used are described in Table 2.

All the treatments performed in the field experiments are shown in Table 3. In these experiments, Azolla was applied at a rate of 36 and 72 kg N/ha, at different times, i.e. before and after transplanting. Only labelled urea was used in these experiments while the Azolla used was unlabelled.

Table 2. Experiment site, plot size, plant distance, and rice variety used in the four year field experiment

| - experimental site | . Page: 050 - 1 |
|--|---|
| plot size: yield plot loot sotope plot plant distance rice variety | : Bogor, 250 m above sea level : 5 m x 1.60 m : 1.60 m x 1.20 m : 20 cm x 20 cm : Atomita I |
| 1985/1986 | |
| <pre>- experimental site - plot size : * yield plot * isotope plot - plant distance - rice variety</pre> | : Bogor, 250 m above sea level : 5 m x 4 m : 1 m x 1 m : 20 cm x 20 cm : IR-36 |
| 1987/1988 | |
| - experimental size - plot size: * yield plot * isotope plot - plant distance - rice variety | : Pusaka Negara, 5 m above sea level : 3 m x 3 m : 1 m x 1 m : 20 cm x 20 cm : IR-64 |
| 1988/1989 | |
| <pre>- experimental size - plot size : * yield plot * isotope plot - plant distance - rice variety</pre> | : Bogor, 250 m above sea level : 5 m x 4 m : 0.8 m x 0.6 m : 20 cm x 20 cm : IR-64 |

Note: both experimental sites, Bogor and Pusaka Negara are located in West Java

The main parameter observed was rice production expressed in dry weight of grain and straw.

Interaction of N Source (1986-1987). These field experiments were done in Bogor. Date of seeding, planting, and harvesting are presented in Table 1. In Table 2 data for plot size, plant distance, and rice variety used given. Here AzoIIa was applied at 60 kg N/ha in two splits, but this rate could not be achieved in the field. The rates applied were usually less than 60 kg N/ha. Labelled Azolla and urea were used in these field experiments. The labelling of Azolla was done in the field. It was done by growing Axolla in plots with a 3 m X 3 m size and, into these plots labelled urea was added. The labelled AzoIIa was washed, mixed and drain before incorporati-The treatments conducted in these experiments are presented in Table 4. The parameter used was the nitrogen yield.

Field experiments were done at Pusaka Negara, West Java. Date for seeding etc, and plot size etc are referred in Tables 1 and 2, while the treatments are described in Table 5. Here Azolla used ranged from 28.0 to 117.2 kg N/ha, while rate of urea was from 30 to 120 kg N/ha. The same labelling method was used as in the experiment 1986/

1987, and the labelling was done in the field. Parameter applied was N-recovery of N efficiency of Azolla and urea.

ments were done at Bogor. The date of seeding etc, and plot size etc. are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The treatments done in this experiment are described in Table 6. The rate of Azolla applied was 200 g fresh weight/m² when used with urea, while urea was applied at a rate of 30 and 60 kg N/ha. Labelled Azolla was applied at a rate of 33.3 kg N/ha.

The method of labelling Azolla in the field was by growing Azolla in 1/3 part of the experiment plot. After 15N labelled urea was applied to the Azolla cover, it was left to grow for 12 days. After this time period Azolla was expanded to 1/2 part of the experiment plot. To this Azolla cover, more 15N labelled urea was added. After the second addition of 15N labelled urea, the Azolla cover was left to grow for 15 days. At the end of the 15 days growing period, the Azolla was let to expand to the whole experiment plot. The whole labelled Azolla cover was incorporated into the soil. The main parameter here was to establish an N-balance of rice applied with Azolla or urea.

AzoIIa. AzoIIa used for all the experiment was AzoI
Ia pinnata obtained from the National Biology Institute,

Bogor, Indonesia. The percentage of total-N and the atom excess of Azolla used are presented in Tables 7 and 8.

Harvest. For all the experiments done the rice plants were cut at 3 cm above the soil surface. Panicle was separated from straw and dried at 60°C for 48 hours.

Total-N was determined by Kjeldahl method. For the experiments receiving ¹⁵N, the atom excess of the plant parts were determined using a JASCO NIA-1 emission spectrometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of N-Source on Rice Production (1984/1985).

From these experiments it was clearly shown that Azolla has the same ability as urea to increase rice production (Table 9). The increase of rice production by Azolla as compared to the control, was observed in the dry season (DS) as well as in the wet season (WS) experiment. Especially for the DS experiment, from the data obtained it was revealed that Azolla at a lower N-rate gave more rice production than urea applied at higher N-rate (Table 9).

By these experiments it was proven that Azella could be used as a single N-source or interacted with urea to increase rice production. Similar fact has also been reported by many research workers as mentioned before.

Interaction of N-Source (1986/1987). For these field experiments, discussion will be focused on the nitrogen yield of rice. Data in Table 10 show that the highest N-yield is for treatment NU-3. For Azolla alone and Azolla interacted with urea, the nitrogen yield were quite low, particularly in the WS. This might be due to the Azolla N-rate applied, which in general was lower than the N-rate of urea, while for the DS, the difference was not so much (Table 4). This is probably because of the nearly equal N-rate application of Azolla and urea (Table 4). The difficulty in applying Azolla at N-rates exactly the same as urea, has lso been reported by ESKEW and KOVACS (6).

Data in Table 11 show that, there is no difference in total-N uptake between Azolla, urea, or Azolla interacted with urea. Only for treatment NU-3, there is a quite high total N-uptake. But for this treatment, labelled urea was used twice i.e., at transplanting and at maximum tillering, while for the other treatments, labelled Azolla or urea was only applied once, at transplanting or at maximum tillering. Expressed in mg N/9 hills, total N-uptake dfU was higher. This is due to the higher nitrogen yield as presented in Table 10.

In the WS, there were still differences between treatments on N-recovery in grain, straw, and plant. The highest N-recovery was obtained by Azolla when interacted with urea/NAU (Table 12). In the DS, there were no differences in N-recovery in the grain, straw, and plant. The higher N-recovery from Azolla can be used as an indicator that rice plant can use N-dfA better than N-dfU. Another possibility is that more N-dfU is lost than N-dfA from the soil, leaving less N-dfU available for rice compared with N-dfA.

Effect of N-Source Rates on N-Recovery (1987/1988). In these field experiments, it is obvious that N-rate of Azolla is always lower than that of urea (Table 5). Despite of this fact, the N-dfA was higher than N-dfU (Table 13). This might mean that as an N-source, Azolla is used more efficiently than urea. Another interesting point is that with the increase of N-source rates either Azolla or urea, the N-recovery decreased.

15N Balance Experiments (1988/1989). Data presented in Table 14 show that the lowest N-uptake by rice was for treatment 30 NU (T). This happened when urea was applied without an Azolla cover. But when urea was applied at a later time, i.e. two weeks after transplanting, the N-uptake increased (Table 14). An increase of N-uptake was also observed when the N rate of urea was increased. However N-uptake dfA was not too high compared to N-uptake

An interesting fact is that N-uptake dfU could increase when there is an AzoIIz cover. Total N-uptake in roots were higher in those located at 0-10 cm depth than in deeper roots. For total N in the soil, apparently more N left in 0-10 cm soil layer than in deeper layer (Table 14).

The highest N-recovery percentage in plants were given by treatment A (T1) + 30 NU (wat), while for Azolla as a single source represented by treatment NA (T), the N-recovery was also quite high (Table 15). For the root and soil, the highest N-recovery was given by the treatment where Azolla was used as a single N source NA(T) (Table 15). The highest total N-recovery was also from the Azolla treatment NA (T), while the lowest N-recovery was given by the treatment where urea was applied at transplanting at a rate of 60 kg N/ha (60 NUL(T)) (Table 15)

CONCLUSIONS

From this four-year experiment, the following conclusions could be forwarded i.e.:

- AzoIIa has the same ability as urea to increase rice yield
- Total N-uptake dfA generally is lower than that dfU. This might be due to the lower N-rates of Azolla applied compared to that of urea

- It is difficult to reach high N rates from Azolla which is equal to that of urea, especially for rates higher than 60 kg N/ha
- The higher the N rates of Azolla and urea used the lower the percentage of N-recovery
- An Azolla cover in the rice field can increase N-uptake dfU.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors recognized the help of the Director of the Center for the Application of Isotopes and Radiation and the International Atomic Energy Agency for their support to this four-year experiment.

We also thanks the technician of the Soil and Plant Nutrition Group, Ms. Karaliani, Ms. Halimah, Ms. Trimurti, Ms. Elly Radhani, Mr. Tohir and Mr. A. Jawanas for their assistance in conducting the experiment.

REFERENCES

- 1. WATANABE, I. "AzoIIz Anabaena symbiosis its physiclogy and used in tropical agriculture", Microbiology of Tropical Soils and Plant Productivity (DOMMERGUES Y.R. and DIEM H.G., eds.), J. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague (1982) 169.
- 2. LUMPKIN, T.A. and PLUCKNETT, D.L. "Axolla as a green manure, use and management in crop production", Westview Tropical Agricultural Series, No. 5, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado (1982).

- 3. PARTOHARDJONO, S., HENDRIK, V., and BUSTAMAN, M., Effect of Azolla incorporation spacing and nitrogen festilizer application in the growth and yield of wetland rice, Contribution 69 (1983).
- 4. SUMANTRI, B.S. "Kompas" March 1989 (in Indonesian)
- 5. ANONYMOUS "Kompas" April 1989 (in Indonesian)
- 6. ESKEW, D.L., and KOVACK, G.T., "Isotopic studies on the use of Azolla - Anabaena as a green manure for rice" Int. Symp. and Workshop on NItrogen Fixation Associated with RIce Production (1989) (Submitted).

Table 3. Treatments carried out in the field experiments, 1984/1985

| 1. | ON | Control, no nitrogen |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| 2. | 30 NU | 30 kg N/ha urea applied as a basal fertilizer, broadcasted and incorporat |
| 3. | 60 NU | 60 kg N/ha urea applied in three splits, 30 kg N/ha before transplanting, 15 kg N/ha three weeks after transplanting, and 15 kg N/ha 25 days before heading |
| | 90 אע | 90 kg N/ha urea applied in two splits, 60 kg N/ha before transplanting, and 30 kg N/ha 25 days before heading |
| 5. | 30NU + 36NA (1) | 30 kg N/ha urea and 1.5 kg fresh weight/m ² Azolla (equal to 36 kgN/ha) are simultaneously incorporated into the soil as basal fertilizer. Azolla was planted in the treatment plot. If Azolla has nor reach the quantity of 1.5 kg fresh weight/m ² , the deficit was added from outside the treatment plot. |
| | | 30 kg N/ha urea applied as a basal fertilizer, and Azolla was added three weeks after transplanting by incorporation into the soil, at the rate as in treatment 5. The same step as in treatment 5 was taken when Azolla has not reached the required quantity |
| | | After reaching full cover, Azolla was incorporated into the soil before transplanting. After transplanting Azolla inoculation was repeated in the treatment plot. The second incorporation of Azolla was carried out at 25 days before heading. The first and second incorporation of Azolla was at the same rate as in treatment 5, and the same step was taken as in treatment 5 when Azolla has not reached the required quantity. |
| . 7 | 72 NA (2) | After reaching full cover, Azolla grown after transplanting was incorporated into the soil. Directly after the first incorporation Azolla was reinoculated to reach full cover. The second incorporation of Azolla was carried out at 25 days before heading. Both incorporation of Azolla were done at a rate equal to treatment 5, and the same step as in treatment 5 was taken when Azolla has not reached the require quantity. |

Table 4. Treatments of field experiments, 1986/1987

| | *** | Season | | | Dry S | eason | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Αz | olla | Ur | ea | Azo | lla | Ure | ea | Code of treatment |
| t | mt | t | nt | t | nt | t | mt | |
| | | | kg | N/ha | | | | |
| Isoto | pe plot | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 28.3* 29.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 27.2 21.4* 0.0 0.0 0.0 21.4* | 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.0* 30.0 40.0* 30.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.0 30.0* 20.0* | 0.0 30.0* 29.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 23.7 31.1* 0.0 0.0 0.0 31.1* | 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.0* 30.0 40.0* | 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.0 30.0* 20.0* | ON NA-1 NA-2 NU-1 NU-2 NU-3 |
| <u>Yield</u> | | | | | V111 | 0010 | 0.0 | NAU |
| 0.0 19.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 27.2 0.0 0.0 27.2 | 0.0 0.0 30.0 40.0 30.0 | 0.0 0.0 30.0 20.0 0.0 | 0.0 27.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 23.7 0.0 0.0 23.7 | 0.0 0.0 30.0 40.0 30.0 | 0.0 0.0 30.0 20.0 0.0 | ON NA-Y NU-Y1 NU-Y2 NAU-Y |

Table 5. Treatments of field experiment 1987/1988

| | DS | Is | sotope plot | | Code of treatments |
|------|--------|-----------|--|-----------------|--------------------|
| Azo | 1 | Urea | WS Azolla | | |
| | | | kg N/ha | Urea | |
| 0 | . 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 28 | . 0 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | ON |
| 56 | . 0 | 0.0 | 58.6 | 0.0 | A1 |
| 84 | | 0.0 | 87.9 | 0.0 | A2 |
| 112 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | A3 |
| | . 0 | 30.0 | 117.2 | 0.0 | A4 |
| | . 0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | U1 |
| | . 0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | U2 |
| | 0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | U3 |
| 0. | | 120.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | U4 |
| | | <u>Yi</u> | eld plot | | |
| 0. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ONY |
| 22. | 100000 | 0.0 | 27.82 | 0.0 | AIY |
| 45. | | 0.0 | 55.64 | 0.0 | AZY |
| 68. | | 0.0 | 83.46 | 0.0 | A3Y |
| 90. | | 0.0 | 111.28 | 0.0 | A4Y |
| 0. | | 30.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | Uly |
| 0. | | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | U2Y |
| 0. | | 90.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | U3Y |
| 0. | 0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | U4Y |
| otes | at | one month | f Azolla and urea wa alf was added at tra n after transplantin olla and urea were u | nsplanting g | |

Table 6. Treatments of field experiment 1988/1989

| ON | Control |
|---------------------|---|
| 30 NU(T) | Control, no nitrogen |
| 30 NU(T) + A(T) | 30 kg N/ha urea, incorporated at transplanting, without Azolla inoculation 30 kg N/ha urea, incorporated at transplanting, |
| NA(T) | 30 kg N/ha urea, incorporated at transplanting, with Azolla inoculation but not incorporated at transplanting, 33.3 and 30.4 kg N/ha Azolla, incorporated transplanting, in the isotope and yield plots |
| A(T) + 30 NU(wat) | AZOLIA Inoculated at the |
| | Azolla inoculated at transplanting, at a rate of 200 g fresh weight/m², but not incorporated into the soil. 30 kg N/ha urea was added at 2 weeks after transplanting. |
| A(T1) + 30 NU(wat) | The same treatment as in treatment as |
| | weeks after transplanting The same treatment as in treatment 5 was carried out, but in this treatment Azolla was incorporated into the soil at 4 weeks after transplanting. Further 4zolla growth |
| | transplanting. Further Azolla growth was allowed but not incorporated 30 kg N/ha urea. added into the fload |
| 30 NU (wat) | 30 kg N/ha urea, added into the flood water at the same time as in treatment 5, without Azolla |
| 60 NU (T) | inoculation 60 kg N/ha urea incorporate 1 |
| A(T) | without Agolical incorporated at transplanting. |
| | 200 g fresh weight/m, but not incorporated |
| | Azolla inoculated at transplanting, at rate of 200 g fresh weight/m, but not incorporated and no addition of urea |
| otes : - treatments | number 1 to 9 were all applied in the isotope |
| - TSN labell | plots ed urea and Azolla were only used in the isotope |
| plots | and Azolia were only used in the isotope |
| treatments | 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and for treatment 4 15 |
| | ent 9, unlabelled Azolla was used in the isotope in the yield plots |

Table 7 Percentage of total-N of Azolla during the four year experiments

| | | N-tota. | <u>I</u> | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | WS | % | DS | |
| 1984/1985 | 3.720 | | | |
| 1985/1986 | | | 3.800 | * |
| | T | MT | T | MT |
| unlabelledlabelled | 3.80** 4.08** | 3.08** 4.20** | 3.85** 4.24** | 3.76** |
| 1987/1988 | | | | 4.16** |
| - unlabelled - labelled | 3.127*** 3.586*** | 3.450*** 3.902*** | 3.307*** 3.637*** | 3.326*** |
| 1988/1989 | 10 | d d | | 3.667*** |
| - unlabelled | 12 | . u | 15 | d |
| - labelled | 4.29 | 94**** 72**** | 1 == 1 | 69**** 10**** |
| S = Wet Season, DS $\Gamma = Azolla$ applied noculation, 15 d = = mean from 4 replorem 8 replicates, * | = Dry Season, T = | Azolla appl | ied at transr | lanting |
| noculation, 15 d = | 15 days after 420 | ing, 12 d = | 12 days after | Azolla |

Table 8 Percentage of atom excess of labelled Azolla during the experiments

| | Atom | Excess |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | WS | DS |
| | | % |
| 1984/1985 | | |
| 1986/1987 | | |
| T MT | 3.56** 3.42** | 4.22** 3.46** |
| 1987/1988 | | 0.40 |
| T MT | 1.2254*** 1.4316*** | 2.4437*** 2.6833*** |
| 1988/1989 | | 270000 |
| 15 d | - | 12.0376**** |

Table 9 Influence of N-source on rice production

| Treatments | Dry S | eason | Wet S | Season |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | GR | ST | GR | ST |
| | • • • • • • | · · · · · · k | g/ha | |
| 1. ON 2. 30 NU 3. 60 NU 4. 90 NU 5. 30NU + 36NA(1) 6. 30NU + 36NA(1) 7. 72 NA (1) 8. 72 NA (2) | 3153 4038 4139 4889 4266 3863 4918 4943 | 3675 4124 4059 4841 4129 4263 5185 4551 | 3085 3391 3722 3608 3884 3655 3603 3726 | 3637 4377 4539 4592 4690 4896 4386 4351 |
| LSD 5% | 642 | ns | ns | 625 |
| CV (%) | 10.21 | 10.40 | 14.45 | 9.59 |
| otes : GR = Grain, LSD= Least of Variation | ST = Stra Significan | aw, * = mear nt Difference ot significa | of four r | eplication efficient |

Table 10 Effect of Azolla, urea, and Azolla + urea on nitrogen yield

| | Wet Seas | on | D | ry Seaso | on |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| GR | ST | PL | GR | ST | PL |
| | • • • • • • • • | mg N/ | 9 hills | | |
| 1242* 1587 1448 1903 1861 2048 1727 | 407 511 502 574 545 552 477 | 1649 2098 1950 2477 2406 2600 2204 | 1271 2055 2103 2155 2271 2313 2242 | 551 742 789 791 767 867 655 | 1822 2797 2892 2946 3030 3180 2897 |
| 371 15 | ns 20 | 438 13 | 346 11 | 139 | 364 |
| | GR 1242* 1587 1448 1903 1861 2048 1727 371 15 | GR ST | GR ST PL mg N/ 1242* 407 1649 1587 511 2098 1448 502 1950 1903 574 2477 1861 545 2406 2048 552 2600 1727 477 2204 371 ns 438 15 20 438 | GR ST PL GR | GR ST PL GR ST |

Table 15 Effect of Azolla, urea, and Azolla+urea on N-recovery

| 2.88 33.02 0.58 33.02 0.58 25.67 3.60 34.33 0.49 24.13 1.35 25.34 3.85 14.07 2.81 11.23 | | | Plant | | Roc | Roots | | Sc | Soil | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 25.11* 11.50 36.61 2.01 0.18 2.19 30.64 2.88 33.02 32.04 11.51 43.55 1.75 0.13 1.88 25.09 0.58 25.09 0.58 33.02 36.15 17.96 59.47 2.40 0.19 4.05 30.83 3.60 34.13 39.54 20.09 59.63 2.35 0.17 2.39 23.68 1.35 25.34 0.49 24.13 2.5.7 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 20.05 20.00 0.00 0.21 2.57 10.27 3.85 14.07 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 | Treatments | GR | ST | PL | (0-10 cm) | (> 11 cm) | Total | (0-10 cm) | (> 11 cm) | Total | Grand |
| 25.11* 11.50 36.61 2.01 0.18 2.19 30.64 2.88 33.02 32.04 11.51 43.55 1.75 0.13 1.88 25.09 0.58 25.09 0.58 25.05 0.58 30.04 11.51 43.55 1.75 0.19 4.05 30.83 3.60 34.33 30.64 2.88 3.60 34.33 30.64 20.64 56.80 3.86 0.19 4.05 30.83 3.60 34.13 39.54 23.27 62.91 2.35 0.17 2.39 23.68 1.35 25.34 25.27 10.09 59.63 2.36 0.21 2.57 10.27 3.85 14.07 2.5.27 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 20 24 118 30 43 28 22 53 53 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 | 1 ON | | | | | | 96 | | | | |
| 32.04 11.51 43.55 1.75 0.13 1.88 25.09 0.58 33.02 36.16 20.64 56.80 3.86 0.13 1.88 25.09 0.58 25.67 41.51 17.96 59.47 2.40 0.18 2.58 23.64 0.49 24.13 39.64 23.27 62.91 2.35 0.17 2.39 23.68 1.35 25.34 25.27 10.09 59.63 2.36 0.21 2.57 10.27 3.85 14.07 9.69 5.72 13.30 0.98 ns 0.98 7.08 1.69 7.86 = recovery from labelled Azolla or urea Significant Difference, CV = Coefficient of Variations | 2. 30 NU(T) | 25.11* | 11.50 | 36.61 | 2.01 | 1 0 | 1 0 | 1 | r | 1 | |
| (1) 41.51 17.96 59.47 2.40 0.19 4.05 30.83 3.60 34.33 (1.51 17.96 59.47 2.40 0.18 2.58 23.64 0.49 24.13 39.54 20.09 59.63 2.35 0.17 2.39 23.68 1.35 25.34 25.27 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 26.34 20.36 25.34 20.36 25.34 25.27 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 20 24 18 30 43 28 22 53 53 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 25 25.34 20.36 24 18 30 43 28 22 53 22 53 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 | 4. NA(T) | | 20 64 | 43.55 | | 0.13 | 1.88 | 25.09 | 2.88 | 33.02 | 71.82 |
| 39.64 23.27 62.91 2.35 0.17 2.39 23.68 1.35 24.13 39.54 20.09 59.63 2.36 0.21 2.39 23.68 1.35 25.34 25.27 10.09 59.63 2.36 0.21 2.57 10.27 3.85 14.07 25.27 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 2.8 5.72 13.30 0.98 ns 0.98 7.08 1.69 7.86 2 2 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 | 5. A(T) + 30 NU (wat) | | 17.96 | 59.47 | | 0.19 | 4.05 | 30.83 | 3.60 | 34.33 | 94.35 |
| 25.27 10.09 35.36 1.51 0.06 1.57 10.27 3.85 14.07 0.06 1.57 8.42 2.81 11.23 0.06 2.82 2.81 11.23 0.08 5.72 13.30 0.98 ns 0.98 7.08 1.69 7.86 1.87 straw, PL = Plant, * = mean from four replications is significant Difference, CV = Coefficient of Variations | 7. 30 NU (wat) | | 23.27 | 62.91 | | 0.17 | 2.39 | 23.68 | 1.35 | 24.13 | 86.18 |
| ns 0.98 7.08 1.69 7.86 43 28 22 53 23 6our replications | 8. 60 NU (T) 9. A (T) | 25.27 | 10.09 | 35.36 | | 0.21 | 2.57 | 10.27 | 3.85 | 14.07 | 76.20 |
| ns 0.98 7.08 1.69 7.86 43 28 22 53 23 6our replications | 75 US.1 | | | | | • | - | - | 1 | ı | • |
| four replications | CV (%) | 9.69 | 5.72 | 13.30 | | ns 43 | 0.98 | 7.08 | 1.69 | 7.86 | 12.80 |
| | Notes: - N-recovery = - GR = Grain, S LSD = Least S | recovery f ST = Straw, Significant | rom label] PL = Plar Differenc | led Azol lt, * = 1 | la or urea mean from f Coefficien | our replicat | tions | | | 67 | 717 |